

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT COUNTIES  
Advisory Meeting  
January 7, 2003  
Sacramento County  
Department of Human Services  
2007 19<sup>th</sup> Street  
Sacramento, California

1. Welcome – Joeana Carpenter greeted everyone. She thanked the ACQCC members for allowing the PMC meeting to be held in the afternoon. She said the agenda items did not warrant a full day meeting. She emphasized that due to the deficit, future PMC meetings must be justified based on the agenda.
2. Agenda Review – Joeana went over the agenda items and asked for any additional items.
3. Summary Review – Joeana asked if there were any additions or changes to the summary. None was made.
4. Status of Enterprise – Donna Portee said that they are moving forward, however, the deficit means that there will be difficult decisions to be made.
5. Caseload Reduction Credit Proposal – Frank Andersen elaborated on the proposal. He indicated that the target for the CRC is 50%. The current CRC is at 44%.
6. Food Stamps – Michael Bowman-Jones indicated that he had requested that each county go through the definitions so that he could make any changes. Gerry Greer indicated that they had gone through the definitions as a group and had only got to class 010. He indicated that there was much discussion on items 010-0014 and 010-0017. Michael indicated that he would change the definitions to clarify the concerns. It was suggested that county supervisors continue to go through the definitions and any changes be sent to Michael.
7. Food Stamp Federal Differences – Hector Hernandez handed out a package that contained the nineteen differences along with the detail write up for each of the case reviews. Hector stated that on the federal/state difference case, the state is held accountable due to state regulations.
8. Quarterly Reporting/Prospective Budgeting – Joeana Carpenter said that there were no major changes to the proposal to be reported at this time. Negotiations with the federal agencies were ongoing with several alternatives being discussed.

9. Benefit Reports – Frank Andersen asked the supervisors if the PQC090 were useful, since the counties have access to IEVES. Many of the supervisors indicated that they use the PQC090 rather than IEVES because IEVES in many cases the data is old or not accurate and the PQC090 is dependable the consensus was that the PQC reports continue to be sent to the counties.
10. SUA – Richard Trujillo asked the supervisors if they see an advantage to QC if the state required a mandatory SUA thus eliminating the Actual Utility Cost option. Virtually all the supervisors indicated that EWs apply the SUA anyway, which is much easier since the client does not have to report the actual utility costs and supply receipts each month.
11. Case Folders – Hector Hernandez said that when FOB reviews cases (Negatives and small county active cases) counties are to send the cases to the FOB office. The state will incur the mailing cost.
12. State Agency Systems Assessment (SASA) – Joeana Carpenter indicated that the SASA will begin at the end of February and the counties to be assessed are: Fresno, Monterey, Contra Costa, Riverside, San Bernardino, and San Diego.
13. Regional Reports – Gerry Greer indicated that the items that were of importance to the regional meeting were addressed.
14. Disposition Reports – Gerry Greer asked if the entire year by month be distributed to the counties so that it would facilitate the reconciling of reports to the state reports.